

If you have not done so recently, the following correspondence concerning baptisms and three anathemas will be better understood, be a little more logical, and make a little more sense if you first read the information found from this hyperlink <http://www.jmjsite.com/r/rejecters.pdf>.

Greetings to others who may read the correspondence found below,

Praised be Jesus, Mary, and St. Joseph now and forever. Although the correspondence presented below actually took place with a true friend, I have replaced their name and where they live, just by calling them my friend – because now that I am uploading this email correspondence to the World Wide Web – the other person’s name does not need to be included.

†††JMJ†††

Greetings my friend,

Praised be Jesus, Mary, and St. Joseph now and forever. You can read my reply to your emails in this blue colored font.

Easter Wednesday

‘The Light shineth in darkness, and the darkness doth not comprehend it,’ for the darkness of pride ever thinks itself to be the light, and sees not the true “Light”.

Dear Patrick,

I received your e-mail response to my most serious question regarding the “three baptism theory”.

The question was this: **“How do you reconcile what I asked, what you responded, and what the Church has taught under pain of anathema in the below Anathema’s about baptism?”**

It was a great disappointment to receive “a no answer” to my simple question. You refused to answer my ONE question, by making an about face and told me to answer your ONE HUNDRED AND EIGHTY questions proposed in your “Rejecters” article and then to explain why I might answer no to any of them.

My answer was a very appropriate answer. For you asked: “How do you reconcile what I asked, what you responded, and what the Church has taught under pain of anathema in the below Anathema’s about baptism?” I thought that how I reconciled it was all explained in my Rejecters letter. I left myself with the impression that I answered your question a long time ago when I wrote the Rejecters letter. However, apparently what I wrote in the Rejecters letter was not sufficient for you to understand what I believe concerning the baptism issues. At this time in my life, my answers are the same as they were when I wrote the Rejecters letter. { 1 } Do you agree that if someone now asks me those same questions, that I should have an answer that is in conformity with the teachings of the Catholic Church? You have never had the courage or charity to answer the questions before to let me know if you would answer them in the same way I do. Now please, please, please, answer those questions and then I will know how I should answer them if someone asked me to believe what you believe! In addition to answering those 180 questions, please, PLEASE, PLEASE answer the questions asked between these kinds of brackets { } in this letter in response to your emails! Apparently, we do not both understand when the Church speaks infallibly – maybe we do not even understand if there is an infallible Church! What you call the infallible Church is forcing me to believe the opposite of what this Church teaches in Her Ordinary Magisterium; if I actually believe the way you believe. Consequently, I ask and beg of you to send me your answers to the questions asked in this email correspondence, and in the Rejecters letter, and also send me the answers to the questions found from this hyperlink: <https://jmjsite.com/nopersonwillremainanon-catholicwhobelieveswhatthebibleteaches.pdf>.

The second part of your response was to “pass the buck” to Gerry Matatics. I have no intention of finding out what Gerry thinks because I have already found out what Holy Mother Church has taught.

{2} Do you agree that if you found out what Holy Mother Church has taught, then you have a moral obligation in charity and fraternal correction to answer the questions I am asking you to answer; because I am unable to come to the same conclusion as you on what you claim Holy Mother Church has taught?

Below I will re-phrase my question in the hopes that you will see that in 2018, you already answered it and that in 2020 when I presented it in a more *precise* way, [mainly, how it refers to you personally] you refused to collaborate with your first and honest and true answer about what the word anathema means when pronounced by the Church in her canons and decrees.

First the definition given by the Church: **ANATHEMA**- A thing devoted or given over to evil, so that “anathema sit” means “let him be accursed.” St. Paul at the end of 1 Corinthians pronounces this anathema on all who do not love our blessed Saviour. The Church has used the phrase “anathema sit” from the earliest times with reference to those whom she EXCLUDES from her communion either because of moral offenses or because they persist in HERESY.(I have excluded the councils & examples that followed)...Neither St. Paul nor the Church of God ever wished a soul to be damned. In pronouncing anathema against willful heretics, the Church does not declare that they are excluded from her communion, and that they MUST, IF THEY CONTINUE OBSTINATE, PERISH ETERNALLY.

Another definition: **ANATHEMA** - “Solemn condemnation, of biblical origin, used by the Church to declare that some position or teaching contradicts Catholic faith and doctrine.” “If anyone,” Paul wrote to the Galatians, “preach to you a gospel beside what you have received, let him be anathema” (Gal 1:9)”

To recap - I asked you if that term meant that a person would incur the anathema for denying just *one* article or would he incur it only if he denied the *whole list* of anathemas, for instance in the “Syllabus or Trent canons”? That is what I asked you in 2018 and you clearly answered correctly these exact words: “I think a person only has to deny one to the things that have anathema to incur that penalty. He would not have to deny everything. It is like denying only one doctrine of the Catholic Church to be a heretic.”

So let me re-phrase my question which you could not answer in my first correspondence.

Since the Infallible Canons of the Council of Trent on the Sacrament of Baptism carry with them the weight of anathema’s if one denies just one of these canons and whereas you DO DENY MORE THAN ONE, in that you claim desire and blood can take the place of water, making water unnecessary - even though the canons state clearly and precisely that **true and natural water are necessary** -**Do you understand that the Church declares you, “Patrick Henry” to be “accursed and excluded from Her communion, and that you will perish eternally, if you persist in your error?** For many years you *have* persisted in heresy despite the good efforts of many Catholics to correct your erroneous position, which is after all “a gospel other than that taught by our Lord. Either the anathema means what it says or the Council has deceived us-which is blasphemy. Christ can neither deceive nor be deceived.

No! I do NOT understand that the infallible Catholic Church declares me, Patrick Henry, to be accursed and excluded from Her communion, and that I will perish eternally, if I persist in my error!

{3} Do you agree that because I do not understand why the Church is going to damn me eternally, that you have an obligation to explain it to me by answering all the questions I asked you to answer?

{4} Do you agree that you refuse to answer ALL of the questions I want you to answer because if you do you know that you will not answer ALL of them without contradicting yourself over, and over, and over again; and also thereby prove that you do not accept and believe everything the Catholic Church has held and now holds?

{5} Do you agree that Pope Pius XII taught the correct Catholic doctrine when he wrote in his encyclical letter, “*In the sacred liturgy we profess the Catholic faith explicitly and openly*”?

{6} Do you agree that saints are canonized during the sacred liturgy?

{7} Do you agree that the Divine Office and Holy Mass are certainly part of the Catholic sacred liturgy?

{8} Do you agree that the infallible Church prays the Divine Office and Holy Mass in honor of Saint Thomas Aquinas and Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori?

{9} Do you agree that the Divine Office for the feast of Saint Alphonsus Maria tells us that he never committed a mortal sin?

{10} Do you agree that the Catholic Church therefore is clearly teaching that it is not a mortal sin to pertinaciously, unwaveringly, and uncompromisingly believe: “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”?

{11} Do you agree that Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori is either now and forever will be in heaven; or else he is now and forever will be in hell?

{12} Do you agree that an infallible Catholic Church has already declared that Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori is in heaven and is also a Doctor of the same Catholic Church?

{13} Do you agree with me that Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori is now and forever will be in heaven?

{14} Do you agree that I should accept the statement as true which you wrote: “In pronouncing anathema against willful heretics, the Church does but declare that they are excluded from her communion, and that they **MUST, IF THEY CONTINUE OBSTINATE, PERISH ETERNALLY**”?

{15} Do you agree that Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori was obstinate, determined, unmoved, persistent, unrelenting, constant, and pertinacious in believing until the moment of his death: “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”?

{16} Do you agree that if you are correct in saying that **true and natural water are necessary** to obtain eternal salvation; then it cannot be true that “it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”?

{17} Do you agree that if Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori is in heaven then the Catholic Church did not condemn him to perish eternally?

{18} Do you agree that if Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori did not perish eternally, then we should believe that he did not call down any anathema upon himself for denying the least thing taught by the Catholic Church?

{19} Do you agree that therefore I should not conclude with you that true (real) and natural water are necessary for salvation when the Catholic Church permits others to believe the exact opposite; and also has Her highest teaching authority using his Ordinary Magisterium to teach that it is free from all errors to say: “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”?

{20} Do you agree that it is a terrible contradiction and inconsistency, to teach I will perish eternally for believing “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”; while previously numerous saints and even popes of the Catholic Church were saved eternally who also believed, “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”?

Do you now comprehend and realize why I do NOT understand that the infallible Catholic Church declares me, Patrick Henry, to be accursed and excluded from Her communion, and that I will perish eternally, if I persist in my error? I might be in ignorance about what the Catholic Church teaches; but I am not sure it is correct for you to say I am persisting in error when I cannot understand what the error is I am persistent in denying! To my weak understanding it is just an unsolvable, contradictory, opposing, inconsistent, and conflicting thing that you and the other Rejecters believe! Please come forth with your answers to all of the questions I have asked you to answer and explain how two exactly opposite beliefs and statements can both be true at the same time!

You also wrote, “For many years you *have* persisted in heresy despite the good efforts of many Catholics to correct your erroneous position, which is after all “a gospel other than that taught by our Lord. Either the anathema means what it says or the Council has deceived us-which is blasphemy. Christ can neither deceive nor be deceived.”

Please come forth and tell me exactly what the heresy is in which I have persisted! As will be explained later, I have doubts that you believe that PURE and NATURAL water is necessary for salvation; although you profess to believe, “Either the anathema means what it says or the Council has deceived us-which is blasphemy.” That is why I beg you and every Rejecter to please answer the questions so that I know what you do and do not believe; which will help me better understand what I must believe or not believe.

{21} Do you agree that it is not heresy to believe that Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori is in heaven?

{22} Do you agree that it is not heresy to believe the highest teaching authority in the Catholic Church?

{23} Do you agree that the highest teaching authority in the Catholic Church clearly taught the Catholic world what is in reality the true and authentic Catholic dogma at Council of Trent, session 6, Chapter 4 where it is said that no one can be saved ‘without the laver of regeneration, **or the desire for it**’?

If you are sincere about correcting my erroneous position then answer the questions I ask you to answer so that I know where I have made a mistake and what I must believe! If you will not do that then how do I know what to tell other people if they ask me the same questions?

I agree with you that “Christ can neither deceive nor be deceived.”

{24} Do you agree that Jesus Christ has certainly deceived me if I must believe that His infallible Church can declare heretics to be in heaven; and also declare them as part of the very learned 30 men in the Catholic Church known as Doctors of the Catholic Church?

{25} Do you agree that Jesus Christ has certainly deceived me if I must believe that His infallible Church gave an exceedingly high approval of the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* and declared it free from all erroneous doctrine and heresy; although it actually contains erroneous doctrine and heresy?

{26} Do you agree that Jesus Christ has certainly deceived me if I must believe that the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* contains anything whatsoever contrary to Catholic doctrine or dogma or anything else that would bring an ANATHEMA on anyone; knowing it was issued by the *express command* of the Ecumenical Council of Trent?

{27} Do you agree that I should rather believe that they are deceived and teaching erroneous doctrine who want me to believe there is heresy in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* although it was approved and published and issued by the express command of the Ecumenical Council of Trent?

{28} Do you agree that Jesus Christ has certainly deceived me if I must believe that the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* contains anything whatsoever contrary to Catholic doctrine and dogma although Popes and Councils, many Cardinals, Bishops, and other very distinguished and learned ecclesiastics, distinguished for their learning and sanctity, vied with one another in eulogizing the Catechism of Trent; and among other things they have said that not since the days of the Apostles has there been produced in a single volume so complete and practical a summary of Christian doctrine as this Catechism, and that, after the Sacred Scriptures, *there is no work* that can be read with *greater safety and profit*?

{29} Do you agree that Saint Francis De Sales taught the correct Catholic doctrine when stating: “To say the Church errs is to say no less than that God errs, or else that He is willing and desirous for us to err; which would be a great blasphemy?”

{30} Do you agree that the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* was published by direct command of the Church?

{31} Do you agree that to say the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* does teach error is the same as directly insisting that the Church does err which is to say no less than that God errs?”

{32} Do you agree that you, Robert and Frederick Dimond, Bishop Webster, and the other Rejecters are living by double standards, hypocrisy, and two-facedness if you condemn as heretics those who believe that the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* was published by direct command of the Church; and that “To say the Church errs is to say no less than that God errs, or else that He is willing and desirous for us to err; which would be a great blasphemy”?

{33} Do you agree that because the Catholic Church does not err, that every Catholic will believe what is written in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* – knowing it was published by direct command of the Church – being issued by the *express command* of the Ecumenical Council of Trent?

I will again list the canons that are being denied by yourself and multitudes of others who follow the false teaching of “cults calling themselves catholic”, on the necessity of the Sacrament of Baptism, which is administered in water.

CANONS ON THE “SACRAMENT OF BAPTISM”

Canon 5: If anyone shall say that Baptism is optional, that is not necessary for salvation: Let him be anathema

Canon 2: If anyone shall say that real and natural water is NOT necessary for Baptism and that on account of those words of our Lord Jesus Christ; “Unless a man be born again of water and the Holy Ghost...” (John 3:5) are distorted into some sort of metaphor, let him be anathema.

John 3:5 “Amen, amen I say to thee, unless one be born of water and the Holy Ghost he CANNOT enter into the kingdom of God.” Remember that a double Amen uttered by our Lord gives the statement a most powerful importance.

{34} Do you agree that Bishop Webster states and repeats the truth in his article of, *The Magisterium and Baptism of Desire* where he informed us on page 1 that: “It is an act of heresy to try and prove an infallible pronouncement false”; and again on page 5: “It is an act of heresy to try to prove an infallible

pronouncement false! It is an act of heresy to say an infallible pronouncement does not mean what it says”?

{35} Do you agree that it was the Catholic Church Herself during the Council of Trent, under Chapter 4 of session 6 teaching either heretically or infallibly what Catholics are to believe with its statement: “And this translation, since the promulgation of the Gospel, cannot be effected, without the laver of regeneration, or the desire thereof, as it is written; *'unless a man be born again of water and the Holy Ghost, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God'* (John 3:5)”?

{36} Do you agree that because “To say the Church errs is to say no less than that God errs, or else that He is willing and desirous for us to err; which would be a great blasphemy”; that the Catholic Church spoke infallibly in Her pronouncement: “And this translation, since the promulgation of the Gospel, cannot be effected, without the laver of regeneration, or the desire thereof, as it is written; *'unless a man be born again of water and the Holy Ghost, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God'* (John 3: 5)”?

{37} Do you agree that the Catholic Church teaches infallibly, with Her most important Ecumenical Council, that people can receive the grace of the sacrament of baptism, without actual water baptism, when She inserts the clause: or the desire thereof, in Her official, infallible pronouncement?

Again Patrick, please read the few things I added to my last e-mail stating what the Popes have warned ...mainly against modernism, secondary principles, the utter corruption of all things Catholic...books especially mentioned...perverting the words of Our Lord and the Church from fallible men (even sincere eminent Doctors and Theologians of the Church) and the forbidding of further discussion on doctrines once decided by the Holy See.

Gerry Matatics will be in direct violation of discussing what has already been determined by the Church in Her infallible doctrines if he does not defend the true doctrine on water baptism- just as you also were when you wrote “Rejectors”, The simple reason is that these “apologetics”, if you wish to label them so, preach another gospel than the one delivered by Our Lord and the apostles. I hope Gerry will defend the “correct doctrine of Water Baptism”. I do not know what his wavering position will be this year on his facebook, but that is not my concern. Thank the good Lord I never got involved with “facebook” so that I will not be tempted to come under God’s displeasure in listening to anymore discussions on this matter by those who would pervert and change the words of our Lord and disobey our Popes. God’s words are CLEAR, INFALLIBLE, HOLY AND UNCHANGEABLE. I and true Catholics choose to believe our Lord as little children. That is our place. If we hold that position, the light in us will be the true light.

{38} Do you agree that if you, “believe our Lord as little children”; then you will humbly submit as a little child to the voice of Jesus Christ speaking through His Vicars?

{39} Do you agree that the Vicar of Jesus Christ, Pope Gregory XVI and the Holy Ghost solemnly declared Saint Alphonsus Maria’s *Moral Theology* error-free in areas of morals?

{40} Do you agree that Our Lord and the Holy Ghost through the Vicar of Jesus Christ consequently solemnly declared that they all completely agreed with the teaching explained by Saint Alphonsus Maria: “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”?

{41} Do you agree that Our Lord approves, authorizes, and accepts this explanation concerning the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*: “The Roman Catechism is a work of exceptional authority. At the very least *it has the same authority as a dogmatic encyclical*, it is an authoritative exposition of Catholic doctrine given forth, and guaranteed to be orthodox by the Catholic Church and her supreme head on earth”?

{42} Do you agree that because this Catechism is guaranteed to be orthodox by the Catholic Church and **her INFALLIBLE supreme head** on earth; that its orthodoxy cannot be proved, established, and confirmed by any higher authority on earth than what is ALREADY declared to be orthodox in EVERYTHING contained in it?

{43} Do you agree that because this Catechism is guaranteed to be orthodox by the Catholic Church and **her INFALLIBLE supreme head** on earth; that it cannot possibly be teaching contrary to Catholic dogma when it states: “On adults, however, the Church has not been accustomed to confer the Sacrament of Baptism at once, but has ordained that it should be deferred for a certain time. The delay is not attended with the same danger as in the case of infants, which we have already mentioned; should any unforeseen accident make it impossible for adults to be washed in the salutary waters, their intention and determination to receive Baptism and their repentance for past sins, will avail them to grace and righteousness”?

{44} Do you agree that they certainly are not subject to the Roman Pontiff who do not accept the fact that the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* is free from every error; knowing as we do that this Catechism is guaranteed to be orthodox by the Catholic Church and **her INFALLIBLE supreme head** on earth?

“We declare, say, define, and pronounce that it is absolutely necessary for the salvation of every human creature to be subject to the Roman Pontiff” (Pope Boniface VIII, the *Bull Unam Sanctam*, 1302).

{45} Do you agree that Our Lord said, “He that heareth you, heareth me; and he that despiseth you, despiseth me; and he that despiseth me, despiseth Him that sent Me ... But I have prayed for thee, that thy faith fail not: and thou, being once converted, confirm thy brethren”?

{46} Do you agree that it presents an unsolvable contradiction when you write: “I and true Catholics choose to believe our Lord as little children”; and then you refuse to accept as true what is taught in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* that is guaranteed to be orthodox by the Catholic Church and **her INFALLIBLE supreme head** on earth?

{47} Do you agree that therefore the same Catholic Church that guaranteed this Catechism to be orthodox will never condemn anyone for believing in baptism of desire as explained in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent - The Roman Catechism*?

{48} Do you agree that your emails explained that the infallible Catholic Church declares me, Patrick Henry, to be accursed and excluded from Her communion, and that I will perish eternally, if I persist in believing what is taught in this *Catechism of the Council of Trent* that is guaranteed to be orthodox by the Catholic Church and **her supreme head** on earth?

I repeat what was stated above: Do you now comprehend and realize why I do NOT understand that the infallible Catholic Church declares me, Patrick Henry, to be accursed and excluded from Her communion, and that I will perish eternally, if I persist in my error? I might be in ignorance about what the Catholic Church teaches; but I am not sure it is correct for you to say I am persisting in error when I cannot understand the error I am persisting in denying! To my weak understanding it is just an unsolvable, contradictory, opposing, inconsistent, and conflicting thing that you and the other Rejecters believe! Please come forth with your answers to all of the questions I have asked you to answer and explain how two exactly opposite beliefs and statements can both be true at the same time!

I pray and hope your goodwill prevails Patrick. You are fighting again the “goat”, that Jesus admonished St. Paul for doing. In so many ways you have been an inspiration and help to many confused Catholics, but on this matter you have only added to the confusion we have all suffered from the “father of lies”, the “enemy of souls”, the “liar and deceiver and murderer from the beginning”. This deceit could very well be the last nail in the coffin for the weak and wavering Catholics who will give up the fight and throw in the towel from mental and spiritual exhaustion. I hope to be among the remaining Catholics who are struggling to keep the true faith and fight the good fight and persevere to the end and to hear those blessed words of Jesus “come good and faithful servant and enter into the joys prepared for you from the foundation of the world.”

May Our Lady and St. Joseph obtain for you from Our Lord the wisdom and understanding you need to embrace the Truth. I pray you ask Our Lord the Holy Ghost for the gift of Understanding which “enlightens us by shedding a clear, searching and extraordinary light on the meaning of revealed truth, and by giving us a certitude that what God has revealed bears such and such a sense, AND NO OTHER.”

Thank you for your prayers in my behalf. I pray that your prayers will be heard, and that both you and I will receive the wisdom and understanding we need to embrace the Truth. It will also be a very wonderful thing if you and the other Rejecters will answer the questions I have asked you to answer. The things you people explain seem extremely contradictory and against reason to my intellect. St. Thomas Aquinas wrote that, “Whatever is against reason is a sin.” To my weak understanding, it is therefore a sin to teach that an infallible Church can declare someone in heaven and at the same time condemned them to the eternal fires of hell. To my weak understanding it is a sin because it is against reason to say that the Catechism solemnly promulgated by an infallible Church can teach error. To my weak understanding it seems to be a sin because it is against reason to know that the very highest Teaching Authority on earth has declared it to be free from all error to state that: “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”; and then for you to write, teach, and do what

you can to make me believe I will perish eternally for believing “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”.

Have a blessed Pentecost.

†††JMJ†††

Dear friend,

Praised be Jesus, Mary, and St. Joseph now and forever. I will now go through your first email in more detail, trusting that what I write will now satisfy you as having answered your email. Hereafter in this blue colored font you can see my answer to your question as well as other comments and questions that you will certainly answer if you are sincere in wanting me to understand the Catholic faith and believe what you believe concerning the baptism issues.

†††JMJ†††

Dear Patrick, I was reviewing the below email I sent you Oct 12, 2018, and your reply Oct 14, 2018. It has been on my mind for some time now to ask you how do you reconcile what I asked, what you responded, and what the Church has taught under pain of anathema in the below doctrines? I cannot reconcile this with the three baptisms. As soon as one admits of two other forms of baptism, he is CONDEMNED by the Church.

{49} Do you agree that everyone should believe you said what you meant and meant what you said in your last sentence?

{50} Do you agree that according to Rejecters; anyone who admits of baptism of blood and baptism of desire is CONDEMNED by the Catholic Church?

{51} Do you agree that if what Rejecters are teaching is correct; then the Catholic Church condemned to hell everyone who believed in two other forms of baptism – such as Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori, St. Thomas Aquinas, Pope Saint Pius V, St. Francis De Sales, St. Charles Borromeo, and everyone who accepts that the liturgy of the Catholic Church teaches the true Catholic Faith?

THE ANATHEMA'S

861 Denzinger Dogma (Paul III 1534-1549)

IF ANYONE SHALL SAY THAT BAPTISM IS OPTIONAL, THAT IS, NOT NECESSARY FOR SALVATION: LET HIM BE ANATHEMA! COMMENT: I am in 100% agreement with this statement as it is taught and explained by the Catholic Church.

John 3:5

JESUS ANSWERED: AMEN, AMEN I SAY TO THEE, UNLESS A MAN BE BORN AGAIN OF WATER AND THE HOLY GHOST, HE CANNOT ENTER INTO THE KINGDOM OF GOD. COMMENT: I am in 100% agreement with this statement as it is taught and explained by the Catholic Church.

857 Denzinger Dogma, Canon 2 (Council of Trent 1545-1563)

IF ANYONE SHALL SAY THAT REAL AND NATURAL WATER IS NOT NECESSARY FOR BAPTISM AND THAT ON ACCOUNT OF THOSE WORDS OF OUR LORD JESUS CHRIST; “UNLESS A MAN BE BORN AGAIN OF WATER AND THE HOLY GHOST...” (John 3:5) ARE DISTORTED INTO SOME SORT OF METAPHORE, LET HIM BE ANATHEMA! COMMENT: I am in 100% agreement with this statement as it is taught and explained by the Catholic Church.

{52} Do you agree that you either do or do not believe this last statement concerning the third anathema exactly as it is worded?

{53} Do you agree that the meaning you are trying to emphasize is that: “If anyone shall say that REAL and NATURAL water is not necessary for baptism, let him be anathema”?

{54} Do you agree that the statement as it is worded requires real and natural water?

{55} Do you agree that real and natural water is 100% unadulterated H2O?

{56} Do you agree that if something is REAL, then it is also genuine, authentic, factual, and true?

{57} Do you agree that if something is NATURAL, then it is also normal, unaffected, untreated, pure, and actual?

{58} Do you agree that if something is UNADULTERATED, then it is also untouched, untainted, unmodified, unsullied, unalloyed, and undiluted?

{59} Do you agree that water mixed with other substances such as beer, milk, chlorine, fluoride, olive oil, and other dirt, chemicals, metals, and other compounds is not 100% pure and natural unadulterated H2O?

{60} Do you agree that the baptismal water used in Catholic Churches contains the Holy Oil of Catechumens – which is made from olive oil?

{61} Do you agree that in many cases the water used that is later put into the baptismal font to be blessed on Holy Saturday is often originally taken from tap water containing either fluoride or chloride or both and in some cases other chemicals and things that contaminate the water?

{62} Do you agree that therefore not one person who was baptized with the baptismal water used in Catholic churches are actually baptized with 100% pure and natural and unadulterated H2O?

{63} Do you agree that therefore most Rejecters do not actually believe that 100% pure, genuine, authentic, factual, true, unaffected, untreated, natural, unadulterated, untouched, untainted, unmodified, unsullied, unalloyed, and undiluted water is necessary for a valid baptism; – although Rejecters also teach that they are therefore condemned with anathema by the infallible Church because they do not believe it?

COMMENT: not many months ago a Rejecter told me that he had his own child baptize him because he did not believe the water that contained fluoride or chloride was REAL and NATURAL water – which was the type of water originally used for his first attempt to be baptized.

{64} Do you agree that actions such as his reject a truth that the Catholic Church teaches; namely, a valid sacrament of baptism takes place when the water used from the baptismal font (although it might contain chloride or fluoride – and it certainly contains olive oil); while the other proper form and intention are correctly supplied is sufficient for a valid baptism?

{65} Do you agree that the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* teaches and explains that parents should not baptize their own children unless there is a truly urgent and immediate necessity with no one else available to baptize their child?

{66} Do you agree that the Catholic Church also teaches that for the same reasons children should not be baptizing their own parents?

{67} Do you agree that probably this does not make much difference to the Rejecters since they do not believe that what is taught in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* to be the teachings of the Catholic Church?

{68} Do you agree that if the Rejecters believed everything taught in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* then they would acknowledge that people can obtain eternal salvation through what is spoken of as baptism of desire?

{69} Do you agree that this shows how REJECTERS truly reject many teachings of the Catholic Church?

I firmly believe the warnings of Popes’ Leo 13, Pius 9, Pius X and many others who warned us of the masonic/modernist scourge against the Church, long before any of us were born. Pope Pius X told the world that their errors are in so-called “approved texts” and these books were so numerous that it would be impossible to remove them all. This was in 1910, therefore the tainted books he was referring to in “Pacendi” had to be dated in the 1800’s and earlier! He said in #18 “Thus in their books one finds some things which might well be approved by a Catholic, but on turning over the page one is confronted by other things which might well have been dictated by a rationalist.

{70} Do you agree that an example of this would be such as the dogma of the Rejecters that the infallible Catholic Church can proclaim someone a saint in heaven and also at the same time condemn them to hell?

#12 We have thus reached on the principal points in the Modernist's system, namely, the origin and the nature of dogma. For they place the origin of dogma in this primitive and simple formulas, which, under a certain aspect, are necessary to faith; for revelation, to be true such requires the clear knowledge of God in the consciousness. But dogmas itself, they apparently hold, **strictly consists in the secondary formulas**...#13 Dogmas in not only able, but **ought to evolve and to be changed**. This is strongly affirmed by the Modernists, and clearly flows from their principles."

{71} Do you agree that it was through Pope Gregory XVI that Jesus Christ and the Holy Ghost solemnly declared Saint Alphonsus Maria's *Moral Theology* error-free in areas of morals?

{72} Do you agree that in Saint Alphonsus Maria's *Moral Theology* we can read: "Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire"?

{73} Do you agree it follows with correct reasoning that when Pope Gregory XVI canonized Saint Alphonsus Maria that this highest Teaching Authority (Ecclesia docens) of the living magisterium of the Catholic Church also believed it to be a de fide dogma that men are also saved by baptism of desire?

{74} Do you agree when Pope Pius IX declared Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori a Doctor of the Church that this highest Teaching Authority (Ecclesia docens) of the living magisterium of the Catholic Church of his time also believed it to be a de fide dogma that men are also saved by baptism of desire?

{75} Do you agree that they are absolutely Modernists who teach, preach, and proclaim that the de fide Dogma that men are also saved by Baptism of desire is not only able, but **ought to evolve and to be changed**?

"If anyone shall have said that there may ever be attributed to the doctrines proposed by the Church a sense which is different from the sense which the Church has once understood and now understands: let him be anathema." First Vatican Council, *Dogmatic Constitution on the Catholic Faith*, ch. 4, DNZ: 1800.

{76} Do you agree that every Rejecter calls down upon themselves an ANATHEMA from the Catholic Church when they refuse to accept that which was believed, established, and recognized to be a dogma by Pope Gregory XVI and Pope Pius IX?

#28 "(14) On the subject of revelation and dogma in particular, the doctrine of the Modernist offers nothing new We find it **condemned** in the Syllabus of Pius IX, where it is enunciated in these terms: "Divine revelation is imperfect, and therefore subject to continual and indefinite progress, corresponding with the progress of human reason"; [15] and **condemned** still more solemnly in the Vatican Council: "The doctrine of the faith which God has revealed has not been proposed to human intelligences to be perfected by them as if it were a philosophical system but as a divine deposit entrusted to the Spouse of Christ to be faithfully guarded and infallibly interpreted. Hence also that sense of the sacred dogmas is to be perpetually retained which our Holy Mother the Church has once declared, nor is this sense ever to be abandoned on pleas or pretext of a more profound comprehension of the truth."...

{77} Do you agree that the sense of the sacred dogmas, doctrines, and explanations given in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* have by our Holy Mother the Church been once declared to be perpetually retained and faithfully expounded to the people by all parish priests?

{78} Do you agree that the Rejecters on pleas or pretext of a more profound comprehension of the water only baptism for salvation heresy condemned by the Catholic Church therefore conclude that the sacred dogmas declared by the Catholic Church have to be interpreted to suit their heretical views?

Let us review some things from the *Introduction to the Catechism of the Council of Trent*:

"All those who had part in the work of the *Catechism* were instructed to avoid in his composition the particular opinions of individuals and schools, and to express the doctrine of the universal Church, keeping especially in mind the decrees of the Council of Trent. On November 2, 1563 the Council of Trent enjoined on all bishops to see that the *Catechism* should be faithfully expounded to the people by all parish priests. January 17, 1566 Pope Saint Pius V succeeded Pope Pius IV. One of the first acts of the new Pontiff was to appoint a number of expert theological revisers to examine every statement in the Catechism from the viewpoint of doctrine.

This Catechism is unlike any other summary of Christian doctrine, it enjoys a unique authority among manuals. It was issued by the *express command* of the Ecumenical Council of Trent. It subsequently received the *unqualified* approval of many Sovereign Pontiffs. In his Bull of June 14, 1761, Pope Clement XIII said that the Catechism contains a *clear* explanation of all that is necessary for salvation and useful for the faithful, that it was composed with great care and industry and has been highly praised by *all*, that by it in former times the faith was strengthened, and that no other Catechism can be compared with it. He concluded, then, that the Roman Pontiffs offered this work to pastors as a norm of Catholic teaching and discipline so that there might be uniformity and harmony in the instructions of all.

Pope Leo XIII wrote that, 'This work is remarkable at once for the richness and exactness of its doctrine, and for the eloquence of its style; it is a precious summary of all theology, both dogmatic and moral. He who understands it well, will have always at his service those aids by which a priest is enabled to preach with fruit, to acquit himself worthily of the important ministry of the confessional and of the direction of souls, and *will be in a position to refute the objections of unbelievers.*' Pope Saint Pius X declared that pastors should give catechetical instructions, and for this purpose they should use the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*.

{79} Do you agree that ALL those who had part in the work of the Catechism were instructed to express the doctrine of the universal Church, keeping especially in mind the decrees of the Council of Trent?

{80} Do you agree that they are the same decrees of the Council of Trent that you are teaching brings down an anathema on Patrick Henry and will make him perish eternally if he persists in believing the same thing as all those who had part in the work of the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*; – which was declared free from all error and published by the command of the highest authority on earth?

{81} Do you agree that your interpretation and understanding of these same decrees of the Council of Trent are in direct opposition of all those who worked on this Catechism; and with the approval of the very highest authority in the Catholic Church declaring their work as free from all error?

{82} Do you agree that because the teachings of you and the other Rejecters is directly opposed to that of Pope Saint Pius V and the other Fathers of Trent – who each and every one approved of the *Catechism the Council of Trent*; that every Catholic should reject the Rejecters interpretation of the decrees of the Council of Trent and accept those of Pope Saint Pius V and the other Fathers of Trent?

{83} Do you agree that the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* was issued by the *express command* of the Ecumenical Council of Trent and subsequently received the *unqualified* approval of many Sovereign Pontiffs; and therefore it does not contain anything contrary to Catholic doctrine or dogmas?

{84} Do you agree that it was the Queen of the Doctrinal and Ecumenical Councils when on November 2, 1563 the Council of Trent enjoined on all bishops to see that the Catechism should be faithfully expounded to the people by all parish priests?

{85} Do you agree that the Council of Trent as a teaching authority of the infallible Church would not enjoin on all bishops to see that the Catechism should be faithfully expounded to the people by all parish priests if it contained heresy or teachings for which anyone could be condemned or anathematized by the Catholic Church?

{86} Do you agree that because in his Bull of June 14, 1761, Pope Clement XIII said that the, “Catechism contains a *clear* explanation of all that is NECESSARY for salvation and useful for the faithful, that it was composed with great care and industry and has been highly praised by *all*, that by it in former times the faith was strengthened, and that no other Catechism can be compared with it”; – that there is no one who believes the teachings of the Catholic Church whenever professed, will conclude that there is error and/or heresy taught in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*?

[NOTE: Pope Clement XIII said that the, “Catechism contains a *clear* explanation of all that is NECESSARY for salvation...” and on page 179 the Catechism clearly explains that Pope Clement XIII as the highest teaching authority in the Catholic Church proclaims the infallible Church believes in baptism of desire as a means for salvation: “On adults, however, the Church has not been accustomed to confer the Sacrament of Baptism at once, but has ordained that it should be deferred for a certain time. The delay is not attended with the same danger as in the case of infants, which we have already mentioned; should any unforeseen accident

make it impossible for adults to be washed in the salutary waters, their intention and determination to receive Baptism and their repentance for past sins, will avail them to grace and righteousness.”]

{87} Do you agree that the Roman Pontiffs would not offer this work to pastors as a norm of Catholic teaching and discipline so that there might be uniformity and harmony in the instructions of all if there was the least thing contrary to Catholic doctrine found in this *Catechism of the Council of Trent* for which anyone could be condemned, censored, or anathematized?

On page 179 of this Catechism it states what the Rejecters claim is heresy:

“On adults, however, the Church has not been accustomed to confer the Sacrament of Baptism at once, but has ordained that it should be deferred for a certain time. The delay is not attended with the same danger as in the case of infants, which we have already mentioned; should any unforeseen accident make it impossible for adults to be washed in the salutary waters, their intention and determination to receive Baptism and their repentance for past sins, will avail them to grace and righteousness.”

{88} Do you agree that Pope Saint Pius V as well as every other Catholic Pope since Pope Saint Pius V believed the statement in the above paragraph is indeed Catholic doctrine?

Ex Cathedra: “We declare, say, define, and pronounce that it is absolutely necessary for the salvation of every human creature to be subject to the Roman Pontiff.” Pope Boniface VIII, the *Bull Unam Sanctam*, 1302.

40. And when We later addressed to you the letter *Adsinarum gentem*, We again referred to this teaching in these words: “The power of JURISDICTION which is conferred directly by divine right on the Supreme Pontiff comes to bishops by that same right, but only through the successor of Peter, to whom not only the FAITHFUL but also all bishops are bound to be constantly subject and to adhere both the reverence of obedience and by the bond of unity.” (Pope Pius XII)

{89} Do you agree that Holy Mother the Church has declared that it is absolutely necessary for the salvation of every human creature to be subject to the Roman Pontiff?

{90} Do you agree that those people are not subject to the Roman Pontiff who do not agree that everything in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* is in agreement with Catholic doctrine?

{91} Do you agree that according to the infallible dogma taught by Pope Boniface VIII no one can be saved who rejects what the popes of the Catholic Church teach as Catholic doctrine?

{92} Do you agree that each and every pope since the Council of Trent do teach that it is Catholic doctrine to believe “On adults, however, the Church has not been accustomed to confer the Sacrament of Baptism at once, but has ordained that it should be deferred for a certain time. The delay is not attended with the same danger as in the case of infants, which we have already mentioned; should any unforeseen accident make it impossible for adults to be washed in the salutary waters, their intention and determination to receive Baptism and their repentance for past sins, will avail them to grace and righteousness”?

“If anyone shall have said that there may ever be attributed to the doctrines proposed by the Church a sense which is different from the sense which the Church has once understood and now understands: let him be anathema.” First Vatican Council, *Dogmatic Constitution on the Catholic Faith*, ch. 4, DNZ: 1800.

{93} Do you agree that every Rejecter calls down upon themselves an ANATHEMA from the Catholic Church when they refuse to accept that which was believed to be a doctrine by every pope since the Council of Trent?

#40 “Our predecessor, Gregory XVI, who wrote: “A lamentable spectacle is that presented by the aberrations of human reason when it yields to the spirit of novelty, when against the warning of the Apostle **it seeks to know beyond what it is meant to know**, and when relying too much on itself it thinks it can find the truth outside the Catholic Church wherein truth is found without the slightest shadow of error.”

{94} Do you agree that the Rejecters think the truth is found outside the Catholic Church; by thinking they have the correct understanding as they proclaim that the highest teaching authority in the Catholic Church erred, blundered, made a mistake, and got it wrong when Pope Saint Pius V solemnly promulgated in the name of the infallible Catholic Church: “On adults, however, the Church has not been accustomed to confer the Sacrament of Baptism at once, but has ordained that it should be deferred for a certain time. The delay is not attended with the same danger as in the case of infants, which we have already mentioned; should any unforeseen accident make it impossible for adults to be washed in the salutary waters, their intention and determination to receive Baptism and their repentance for past sins, will avail them to grace and righteousness”?

This confirms what our Holy Father Pope Pius IX in “Singular Quadam, Dec 9, 1854 warned, that **it is unlawful to proceed further in inquiry** regarding Ephesians 4:5 “One faith, one Lord, one Baptism”

Pope Boniface also made it clear in “Retro maioribus tuis” **“For it has never been allowed that to be discussed again, which has once been decided by the Apostolic See.”**

{95} Do you agree that the Apostolic See has decided that the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* absolutely gives the correct Catholic doctrine when stating: “On adults, however, the Church has not been accustomed to confer the Sacrament of Baptism at once, but has ordained that it should be deferred for a certain time. The delay is not attended with the same danger as in the case of infants, which we have already mentioned; should any unforeseen accident make it impossible for adults to be washed in the salutary waters, their intention and determination to receive Baptism and their repentance for past sins, will avail them to grace and righteousness”?

{96} Do you agree that Rejecters are calling down upon themselves a terrifying, horrifying, and alarming ANATHEMA when they are not subject to the Roman Pontiffs by discussing and teaching again the contrary of what has once been decided by the Apostolic See in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* and by the teaching of Pope Gregory XVI that the moral theology of Saint Alphonsus Maria is free of all errors?

Anyone can find what the Church has authoritatively taught about salvation and the necessity of water baptism, and the pronouncements below are clear, as all of the dogmas are clear.

{97} Do you agree that it is mysterious that according to the Rejecters of the Catholic faith; Pope Saint Pius V, Pope Gregory XVI, Pope Clement XIII, Pope Pius IX, Pope Leo XIII, Pope Saint Pius X, St. Charles Borromeo, St. Francis De Sales, Saint Alphonsus Maria, and a multitude of others did not find out what the Catholic Church authoritatively taught about salvation and the necessity of water baptism?

{98} Do you agree that if Pope Saint Pius V, Pope Gregory XVI, Pope Clement XIII, Pope Pius IX, Pope Leo XIII, Pope Saint Pius X, St. Charles Borromeo, St. Francis De Sales, Saint Alphonsus Maria, and a multitude of others did find out what the Catholic Church authoritatively taught about salvation and the necessity of water baptism; that I am in excellent company believing what they believed?

Pope Pius 9 made it clear that the very definition of a dogmas must be held to be “by itself” a “sufficient” demonstration, very sure and adapted to all the faithful. (Inter Gravissimas, 1870)

Each dogmas is sufficient in itself to teach us what we are to believe. The very nature of a defined dogmas is that its meaning is precisely what the words declare.

{99} Do you agree that the defined dogma is that every human being must be subject to the Roman Pontiffs and believe exactly what they taught regarding matters of faith and morals?

{100} Do you agree that they are not subject to the Roman Pontiffs who believe, preach, and declare that the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* does not teach Catholic doctrine?

{101} Do you agree that the Catholic doctrine requires us to believe there is no salvation outside the Catholic Church?

{102} Do you agree that you want me to believe that you spoke the truth when you wrote: “As soon as one admits of two other forms of baptism, he is CONDEMNED by the Church”?

{103} Do you agree that everyone that is CONDEMNED by the Church and never repents of that for which they were condemned; cannot also be saved and have eternal salvation?

{104} Do you agree that Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori teaches: “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”?

{105} Do you agree that Saint Alphonsus Maria is CONDEMNED by the Church if you and the other Rejecters are teaching the truth?

{106} Do you agree that the Catholic Church is infallible and yet according to you, She has canonized as saints in heaven forever those who you say She CONDEMNNS because they believe in and admit of two other forms of baptism besides water baptism?

{107} Do you agree that what you and the other Rejecters teach absolutely cannot be the truth?

{108} Do you agree that through Charity and the necessary Fraternal Correction you should now answer each and every question I requested you to answer in this email correspondence; to help me understand how to explain your explanation of such contrary, opposite, dissimilar, disagreeing, conflicting, and opposing beliefs that the infallible Church can declare to be saints forever in Heaven, while She at the SAME time condemns them forever to eternal punishment?

God’s words are clear and exact, and as I once read somewhere, He means exactly what He says! A dogmatic definition therefore is the Church infallibly declaring what God declares and what we are to believe.

{109} Do you agree that: “We declare, say, define, and pronounce that it is absolutely necessary for the salvation of every human creature to be subject to the Roman Pontiff” is a dogmatic definition? (Pope Boniface VIII, the *Bull Unam Sanctam*, 1302.)

{110} Do you agree that the Church infallibly declared that Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori is a canonized Saint and Doctor of the Catholic Church?

{111} Do you agree that you are not subject to the Roman Pontiff if you deny that Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori is a canonized Saint and Doctor of the Catholic Church?

{112} Do you agree that the infallible Catholic Church proves that she agrees with the statement: “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire” when she taught the same thing in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*?

{113} Do you agree that you are not subject to the Roman Pontiff if you do not agree with the Roman Pontiffs when they agree with the statement: “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”?

Fallible people, no matter what position they may hold in the Church CANNOT interpret what is infallible.

{114} Do you agree that you are attempting to interpret contrary to what the infallible Church declared when She canonized as Saints those who believed what you deny to be true?

{115} Do you agree that the Church infallibly taught that Saint Alphonsus Maria is in heaven although he taught “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”; and therefore you CANNOT interpret otherwise than this which the Catholic Church agrees is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire?

That would be the masonic method of reversing everything. That is not the true Church’s way.

{116} Do you agree that your heretical way is the masonic method of reversing everything when you teach the contrary to the way the Catholic Church teaches as explained by St. Thomas Aquinas, Saint Alphonsus Maria, and the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*?

Certainly you know of the statements from the Church that we are not to follow even the most learned doctors and theologian in the Church if they teach anything contrary to what the infallible magisterium has presented.

{117} Do you agree that it appears you are now attempting to proclaim that the infallible magisterium infallibly proclaims some people to be saints in heaven, and even Doctors of the Catholic Church whom you teach taught contrary to the infallible dogmas of the Catholic Church?

{118} Do you agree that it appears that you are saying your understanding of the decrees of the Council of Trent are correct, precise, accurate, and approved by the highest authority in the Catholic Church; while the understanding of the Fathers of Trent erred, blundered, made a mistake, misunderstood, miscalculated, and therefore was condemned as non-Catholic dogma by the highest authority in the Catholic Church?

{119} Do you agree that the infallible magisterium approved of the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*; when the infallible magisterium excommunicated as a heretic Father Leonard Feeney?

{120} Do you agree that you are now attempting to proclaim that the infallible Ordinary Magisterium taught heresy when the infallible Ordinary Magisterium said we should believe everything taught in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*?

{121} Do you agree that every Catholic must accept what is known as the Athanasian Creed to be absolutely and strictly Catholic dogma?

{122} Do you agree that this Athanasian Creed was originally composed by someone who had no more authority in the Catholic Church than Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori (Bishop, confessor, and Doctor of the Church)?

{123} Do you agree that everything changed once the infallible Church taught that what is declared in the Athanasian Creed is Catholic dogma?

{124} Do you agree that the original statements concerning prayer by Saint Augustine were only made by a learned Bishop and theologian in the Church?

{125} Do you agree that everything changed regarding those statements concerning prayer as to how Catholics are bound to believe them; when the Ecumenical Council of Trent adopted them into Her official teachings as Catholic dogma?

{126} Do you agree that initially the statement by Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori, “*Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire*” was only made by a learned Bishop and theologian in the Catholic Church?

{127} Do you agree that the importance and confirmed truth of the statement was completely changed when the highest living magisterium and teaching authority on earth proclaim the statement, “*Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire*” to be free from all error?

{128} Do you agree that the Catholic Church clearly teaches we are not to follow those who some think are the most learned doctors and theologians of our times, (such as you, Robert Dimond, Bishop Webster, Father Leonard Feeney, and the other Rejecters) in the Church; – if you teach anything contrary to what Pope Gregory XVI, as the highest living magisterium and teaching authority on earth, taught when he proclaimed, testified, acknowledged, and declared the statement, “*Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire*” to be free from all error?

All Catholics know and understand the teachings on “Outside of the Church there is no salvation”, “Who is not inside the Church and will be condemned unless they come to the Church before death”, and the only way to enter into the Catholic Church - the Sacrament of Water Baptism.

{129} Do you agree your statements clearly mean that for you, all Catholics do NOT include Pope Saint Pius V, Pope Gregory XVI, Pope Clement XIII, Pope Pius IX, Pope Leo XIII, Pope Saint Pius X, St. Charles Borromeo, St. Francis De Sales, Saint Alphonsus Maria, all of the Fathers of Trent, and a multitude of others – for they certainly taught people have gone to heaven without receiving water baptism – just as the infallible Church clearly teaches in the *Catechism of the Council of Trent*?

{130} Do you agree that according to what you have written; myself and every other person who wants to go to heaven must without the least shadow of doubt believe that St. Thomas Aquinas, Pope Saint Pius V, Pope Gregory XVI, Pope Clement XIII, Pope Pius IX, Pope Leo XIII, Pope Saint Pius X, St. Charles Borromeo, St. Francis De Sales, Saint Alphonsus Maria, all of the Fathers of Trent, and a multitude of others did NOT understand the teachings on “Outside of the Church there is no salvation” , “Who is not inside the Church and will be condemned unless they come to the Church before death”, and the only way to enter into the Catholic Church - the Sacrament of Water Baptism?”

{131} Do you agree that it is mysterious that according to the Rejecters of the Catholic faith; Pope Saint Pius V, Pope Gregory XVI, Pope Clement XIII, Pope Pius IX, Pope Leo XIII, Pope Saint Pius X, St. Charles

Borromeo, St. Francis De Sales, Saint Alphonsus Maria, all of the Fathers of Trent, and a multitude of others did not find out what the Catholic Church authoritatively taught about salvation and the necessity of water baptism?

Clearly, if you cannot be saved outside the Church and cannot enter into the Church without the Sacrament of Baptism, you cannot enter into heaven.

{132} Do you agree that if I understand you correctly, you clearly condemn as heretics many who you teach are now condemned to hell forever by the Catholic Church; although the same Catholic Church declared them to be a canonized Saint in heaven although they pertinaciously until death taught the opposite of what you believe?

NO BAPTISM, NO ENTRY INTO THE CHURCH, NO ENTRY INTO HEAVEN. It seems that simple.

{133} Do you agree that it is just that simple?

You can enter the Church without water baptism by shedding one's blood for the Catholic faith and by the desire thereof as explained by the Catholic Church!

{134} Do you agree that the sacred liturgy of the Catholic Church honors and accepts as Saints those who did not receive baptism with water – as can be found in the Divine Office?

{135} Do you agree that the sacred liturgy of the Holy Saturday Vigil in the Catholic Church manifestly shows that She teaches: “On adults, however, the Church has not been accustomed to confer the Sacrament of Baptism at once, but has ordained that it should be deferred for a certain time. The delay is not attended with the same danger as in the case of infants, which we have already mentioned; should any unforeseen accident make it impossible for adults to be washed in the salutary waters, their intention and determination to receive Baptism and their repentance for past sins, will avail them to grace and righteousness”?

{136} Do you agree that your teaching proclaims that the Catholic Church teaches ERROR in Her sacred liturgy, since she makes catechumens wait many, many months before receiving baptism with water – simply because the infallible Catholic Church teaches: “should any unforeseen accident make it impossible for adults to be washed in the salutary waters, their intention and determination to receive Baptism and their repentance for past sins, will avail them to grace and righteousness”?

Saint Francis De Sales reminds us of this very important truth (*The Catholic Controversy*, p. 70): “To say the Church errs is to say no less that God errs, or else that He is willing and desirous for us to err; which would be a great blasphemy.”

{137} Do you agree that therefore you are not subject to Pope Pius IX and Pope Pius XII who declared infallibly the Church teaches infallibly through Her sacred liturgy?

To postulate a modernist view that one can enter into Heaven without entering into the Church is to propose those **condemned secondary formulas mentioned in Pacendi #12 & #13.**

So, Patrick, this is my short summary of why I wholly agree with the Church's anathema, which you confirmed, and the clearness of the dogmas that Holy Church has declared. I will not go against Her clear words and the warnings of the Popes to “beware of wolves in sheep's clothing”- Modernists, Masons, Rationalists and the like.

{138} Do you agree that you do go against Her clear words which She promulgated with the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* by the highest teaching authority of the living magisterium; because that Catechism clearly teaches what you deny absolutely and refuse to accept as the truth?

{ } Do you agree that the Catholic Church has taught once and forever the doctrine that cannot be changed: “Should any unforeseen accident make it impossible for adults to be washed in the salutary waters, their intention and determination to receive Baptism and their repentance for past sins, will avail them to grace and righteousness”?

{139} Do you agree that you wrote: “I wholly agree with the Church’s anathema,” and yet you say the doctrine explained in the above paragraph is not the correct teaching of the Catholic Church; because you attribute to that doctrine proposed by the Church a sense which is different from the sense which the Church has once understood and now understands?

{140} Do you agree that the Catholic Church has also taught once and forever the doctrine that cannot be changed; namely, “If anyone shall have said that there may ever be attributed to the doctrines proposed by the Church a sense which is different from the sense which the Church has once understood and now understands: let him be anathema”? (First Vatican Council, *Dogmatic Constitution on the Catholic Faith*, ch. 4, DNZ: 1800.)

{141} Do you agree that you call upon yourself the ANATHEMA of the Catholic Church if you teach the opposite of the truth which the Catholic Church has taught once and forever with the doctrine that cannot be changed: “Should any unforeseen accident make it impossible for adults to be washed in the salutary waters, their intention and determination to receive Baptism and their repentance for past sins, will avail them to grace and righteousness”?

I have read “What is Liberalism: by Fr. Salvany. He lays it out quite clearly on page 64 Chapter 12. “To effect a confusion of ideas is an old scheme of the Devil. Not to understand clearly and precisely is generally the source of intellectual error. In time of schism and heresy, to cloud and distort the proper sense of words is a fruitful artifice of Satan, and it is as easy to lay snares for the intellectually proud as for the innocent. Every heresy in the Church bears testimony to Satan’s success in deceiving the human intellect by obscuring and perverting the meaning of words.

{142} Do you agree that the meaning of your interpretation of the words of the Catholic Church: “should any unforeseen accident make it impossible for adults to be washed in the salutary waters, their intention and determination to receive Baptism and their repentance for past sins, will avail them to grace and righteousness”; are the exact opposite of what the Church Herself declares infallibly by her Ordinary Teaching Magisterium to be true?

{143} Do you agree that Saint Alphonsus Maria was not separated from that Church which clearly tells us in Her sacred liturgy that his soul was never soiled with the stain of mortal sin, let alone him becoming a heretic or ever teaching contrary to any dogma of the Church?

{144} Do you agree that we are absolutely assured by the infallible Church that he preserved the true faith and the state of sanctifying grace although he obstinately, tenaciously, determinedly, persistently, and pertinaciously defended his statement until death that: “*Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire*”?

{145} Do you agree that statement is either true or false, and therefore either correctly states a dogma or else Saint Alphonsus Maria was a formal heretic for denying an infallible pronouncement of the Church?

{146} Do you agree that Saint Alphonsus Maria either absolutely spoke the truth with the statement, “*Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire*,” or else he absolutely totally rejected the Catholic faith: “*Either the Catholic faith is held in its entirety, or rejected totally*”?

{147} Do you agree that every Rejecter calls down upon themselves an ANATHEMA from the Catholic Church when they refuse to accept that which was determined, decided, and established to be a dogma by Pope Gregory XVI and Pope Pius IX?

{148} Do you agree that it is totally against reason that a heretic who obstinately and pertinaciously teaches contrary to a dogma of the Church should be found in the presence of all the people rapt in ecstasy, with remarkable gifts of prophecy, reading of hearts, bilocation, and miracles as the liturgy of the Catholic Church teaches us about Saint Alphonsus Maria?

{149} Do you agree that if Saint Alphonsus Maria’s statement is correct, then the Rejecters reject a dogma of the Church?

{150} Do you agree that if the Rejecters believe one can remain in the unity and bosom of the Church, who obstinately, tenaciously, determinedly, persistently, and pertinaciously believes, teaches, defends, supports, maintains, and insists until death that his statement is de fide – although it is in direct opposition to an infallible pronouncement, then the Rejecters reject a dogma of the Catholic Church?

{151} Do you agree that if the Rejecters believe Saint Alphonsus Maria's statement is heresy, then the Rejecters reject the dogma that the Catholic Church teaches the true faith through Her sacred liturgy?

{152} Do you agree that if the Rejecters believe Saint Alphonsus Maria's statement is heresy and yet he remained in the Catholic Church, then the Rejecters reject the dogma that heretics are not members of the Catholic Church?

{153} Do you agree that if the Rejecters believe Saint Alphonsus Maria's statement is heretical, then the Rejecters reject the dogma that there is no salvation for those who are heretics and outside of the Church?

{154} Do you agree that Rejecters therefore reject the dogma that there is no salvation outside of the Church?

{155} Do you agree that Rejecters also reject the dogma that the Church is infallible and cannot err?

{156} Do you agree that Rejecters also reject the dogma that the Catholic Church always knows when She speaks infallibly?

{157} Do you agree that all who reject any dogma of the Catholic Church will not be saved?

{158} Do you agree that because eternity in heaven or hell is at stake, the only logical thing to do is reject the Rejecters' heresy and believe: "Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire"?

{159} Do you agree that they are absolutely Modernists who teach, preach, and proclaim that Catholic dogma is not only able, but **ought to evolve and to be changed**?

"If anyone shall have said that there may ever be attributed to the doctrines proposed by the Church a sense which is different from the sense which the Church has once understood and now understands: let him be anathema." First Vatican Council, *Dogmatic Constitution on the Catholic Faith, ch. 4*, DNZ: 1800.

Let us again review your definitions and the question:

First the definition given by the Church: **ANATHEMA**- A thing devoted or given over to evil, so that "anathema sit" means "let him be accursed." St. Paul at the end of 1 Corinthians pronounces this anathema on all who do not love our blessed Saviour. The Church has used the phrase "anathema sit" from the earliest times with reference to those whom she EXCLUDES from her communion either because of moral offenses or because they persist in HERESY.(I have excluded the councils & examples that followed)...Neither St. Paul nor the Church of God ever wished a soul to be damned. In pronouncing anathema against willful heretics, the Church does but declare that they are excluded from her communion, and that they MUST, IF THEY CONTINUE OBSTINATE, PERISH ETERNALLY.

Another definition: **ANATHEMA** - "Solemn condemnation, of biblical origin, used by the Church to declare that some position or teaching contradicts Catholic faith and doctrine." "If anyone," Paul wrote to the Galatians, "preach to you a gospel beside what you have received, let him be anathema" (Gal 1:9)"

It has been on my mind for some time now to ask you how do you reconcile what I asked, what you responded, and what the Church has taught under pain of anathema in the below doctrines?

This is how I reconcile what you asked, what I responded, and what the Church has taught under pain of anathema:

{160} Do you agree that the Catholic Church either did or did not declare "anathema sit" which means "let him be accursed" on St. Thomas Aquinas, Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori, and Pope Saint Pius V?

{161} Do you agree that if the Catholic Church did declare anathema on St. Thomas Aquinas, Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori, and Pope Saint Pius V that no Rejecters have ever provided a written document showing an excommunication or any other statement that any of these three Saints were at any time cut off from the Unity of the Church?

{162} Do you agree that if the Catholic Church did declare anathema on St. Thomas Aquinas, Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori, and Pope Saint Pius V that we know there was no mortal sin involved because the Catholic Church taught us infallibly in the Divine Office that Saint Alphonsus Maria never did commit a mortal sin?

{163} Do you agree that in spite of the fact that you and the other Rejecters, state, pronounce, and proclaim that the Catholic Church declared anathema on St. Thomas Aquinas, Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori, and Pope

Saint Pius V and said, “let them be accursed” for believing what they believed and taught; that they will forever after enjoy the beatific vision in heaven?

{164} Do you agree that God made me to know, love, and serve Him in this life that I may be with Him forever in the next and enjoy the beatific vision eternally?

{165} Do you agree that I should not fear the same anathema on me that the Catholic Church declared on St. Thomas Aquinas, Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori, and Pope Saint Pius V; if like them I will forever after enjoy the beatific vision in heaven?

{166} Do you agree that it is a very wise, prudent, and intelligent decision to believe everything that St. Thomas Aquinas, Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori, and Pope Saint Pius V believed and taught if I attain the same end result of being with and enjoying the beatific vision of God eternally?

{167} Do you agree that is a very unwise, imprudent, and unintelligent decision for you to continue to reject what was explained by St. Thomas Aquinas, Saint Alphonsus Maria, and Pope Saint Pius V; because I know these three Saints are in heaven despite the fact that you say they were anathematized and condemned to eternal punishment for believing there is Salvation by what they explained concerning Baptism of Blood and Baptism of Desire?

{168} Do you agree that on page 316 of his book, *Outside the Catholic Church There is Absolutely No Salvation*, Mr. Robert Dimond correctly states the truth wherein he writes:

“On Judgment Day, God will separate those who have preserved the true faith and the state of grace from those who have not. Those who have defiled this faith will have to line up with the reprobate... **one cannot be saved without the true faith**”?

{169} Do you agree that Pope Pius XII in *Ad Apostolorum Principis* correctly states the truth wherein he writes: “No one can depart from the teaching of Catholic truth without loss of faith and salvation”?

{170} Do you agree that Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori will have to line up with the reprobate on Judgment Day if he defiled the true faith and departed from the Catholic truth by teaching: “Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire”?

{171} Do you agree that our real purpose in life is to avoid that final curse and ANATHEMA spoken by the Eternal Truth to all who line up with the reprobate and the goats on the left: “Then he shall say to them also that shall be on his left hand: Depart from me, you **cursed**, into everlasting fire which was prepared for the devil and his angels”?

{172} Do you agree with Patrick Henry that it will be a very good choice to be on the same side as Saint Alphonsus Maria Liguori on General Judgment Day, and therefore an excellent idea to be on his side and believe as he believed on the baptism issues in this life; although according to Rejecters he will be **cursed** with the reprobate goats for pertinaciously teaching until his death that there are two other baptisms besides baptism with water?

{173} Do you agree that Almighty God and His Infallible Church might have a totally different ANATHEMA and eternal destiny for everyone who continues to not be subject to the Roman Pontiffs as explained above; and which I trust you will completely understand if you will only answer the questions I have asked you to answer?

{174} Do you agree that it is not you or I or any other fallible person; but the Catholic Church Herself who is best qualified to give the correct interpretation of the true meaning of the three anathemas concerning which you asked me to explain how I reconcile what you asked, what I responded, with what the Church has taught under pain of anathema?

{175} Do you agree that because the Catholic Church cannot err or contradict Herself, Catholics should believe She provided the correct explanation and definitions of the true meaning concerning the three anathemas by teaching infallibly with Her most important Ecumenical Council; that people can receive the grace of the sacrament of baptism, without actual water baptism, when She inserts the clause: or the desire thereof, in Her official, infallible pronouncement?

{176} Do you agree that because the Catholic Church cannot err or contradict Herself, Catholics should believe She provided the correct explanation and definitions of the true meaning concerning the three anathemas by declaring that the *Catechism of the Council of Trent* to be free from error; while therein we find Her teachings concerning baptism of desire?

{177} Do you agree that because the Catholic Church cannot err or contradict Herself, Catholics should believe She provided the correct explanation and definitions of the true meaning concerning the three anathemas by declaring that She teaches the true Catholic Faith through Her Sacred Liturgy; and therein we find that She honors as saints in heaven those who were only martyred for the Catholic Faith but did not receive water baptism?

{178} Do you agree that because the Catholic Church cannot err or contradict Herself, Catholics should believe She provided the correct explanation and definitions of the true meaning concerning the three anathemas by declaring that She teaches the true Catholic Faith through Her Sacred Liturgy; and therein we find that Pope Gregory XVI, as Her highest teaching authority on earth, declared to be error free the statement that: "Now it is de fide that men are also saved by Baptism of desire"?

{179} Do you agree that if you will answer yes to these questions I have asked you to answer, there will be nothing against reason, no more contradictions, and everything makes sense?

{180} Do you agree that previously you held a misunderstanding of the three anathemas, which you wanted me to comment on, and therefore the best thing for you to do is now accept what the Catholic Church teaches?

Arianism was a battle of words and owed its long-continued success to its verbal chicanery. Plagiarism and Jansenism showed the same characteristic, and to-day Liberalism is as cunning and obscure as any of its heretical predecessors."

I pray and hope Our Sorrowful Mother Mary will help you to see your error. Even men of goodwill fall seven times seventy. We've all been there, but by the grace of God, He lifted us from our confusion and error.

May you enjoy a very blessed Easter,

MY EMAIL TO YOU OCTOBER 12, 2018

Dear Patrick, Hope all is well with you. We are getting winter weather here in _____, as it has already hit freezing. We just came home from Georgia where it was in the 80's, to this, burrrrr. I suppose it is always hot where you are.

I have been meaning to write you forever on this two questions, so I finally am doing so.

First, on July 1st, the Feast of the Most Precious Blood took precedent over the 6th Sunday after Pentecost. So on the following Wednesday, it says "Mass of the Season". Which Mass should be prayed? The Feast of the Precious Blood, or the 6th Sunday after Pentecost? I always get feria days and Mass of the Season mixed up. What is the difference?

Second, when we see anathema's in the Church writings, for example in the Syllabus "if anyone says this, let him be anathema" - and there are many such statements in the Church, such as the canons of trent on the sacraments... Does one get the anathema if one only denies one, or does he have to deny all of them in a particular document to come under this sentence?

Wouldn't it be wonderful if we had a bishop to ask. But the Lord giveth ad the Lord taketh away, blessed be the name of the Lord.

Much appreciation to you for helping me understand these two things.

In JMJ,

YOUR REPLY TO ME OCTOBER 14, 2018

M _____,

Praised be Jesus, Mary, and St. Joseph; now and forever. When a double first class or second class feast takes precedence over a Sunday, that feast is only for that one day of the Sunday. If the Mass of the season comes later in the week then we pray the Mass prayers of the preceding Sunday that was only commemorated because of the double first class or second class feast. Feria days and Mass of the Season are pretty much the same thing. On Feria days we pray the Mass prayers of the Season – which would be the Mass prayers of the previous Sunday, even if on the actual Sunday there was a double first class or double second class that took preference on that Sunday.

Of course during the first week of the year and the week following the Epiphany the Catholic Church has us pray the prayers of the Circumcision or the Epiphany. As you know, during Holy Week, Easter week, and Pentecost week there is no feast that can take the place of these special days of the year.

I think a person only has to deny one of the things that have anathema to incur that penalty. He would not have to deny everything. It is like denying only one doctrine of the Catholic Church to be a heretic.

In Jesus, Mary, and Joseph,

Patrick Henry

†††JMJ†††

As a type of supplemental information on the baptism issues – for those who might be interested, I herein supply links to some Facebook broadcasts presented by Gerry Matatics:

Gerry – part 1 of BOB&BOD

<https://www.facebook.com/catholicapologist/videos/207956596932924/>

Gerry- Part 2 of BOB&BOD

<https://www.facebook.com/catholicapologist/videos/1128850144114244/>

Gerry's 3rd broadcast on the baptism issues

<https://www.facebook.com/catholicapologist/videos/2893993640681649/>

Gerry's 4th broadcast on the baptism issues

<https://www.facebook.com/catholicapologist/videos/536846633926933/>

Gerry's 5th broadcast on the baptism issues - April 6, 2020

<https://www.facebook.com/catholicapologist/videos/238674533851352/>

Gerry's broadcast on the baptism issues - April 18, 2020

<https://www.facebook.com/catholicapologist/videos/562096298059762/>

Gerry's broadcast on the baptism issues - April 23, 2020

<https://www.facebook.com/catholicapologist/videos/941202776336605/>

Gerry's broadcast on the baptism issues - April 29, 2020

<https://www.facebook.com/catholicapologist/videos/330226077940116/>